



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/099,632 06/18/98 INSLEY

T 53634USA8A

KARL G HANSON  
3M OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PRPPERTY  
COUNSEL  
P O BOX 33427  
T PAUL MN 55133-3427

QM02/0329

EXAMINER

LEO, L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3743

DATE MAILED:

03/29/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/099,632

Applicant(s)

Insley et al.

Examiner

Leonard R. Leo

Group Art Unit

3743



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Leonard R. Leo

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Lynn C. Cameron

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Mar 28, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

Rosman et al and Bae

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

discussed the recitation of "polymeric film" in light of the disclosure is not commensurate in scope with the claim. As claimed, the prior art to Rosman et al discloses a polymer material and various teachings of dimensions for desired heat transfer characteristics. Will await for preliminary amendment in the CPA.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*Leonard R. Leo*

LEONARD R. LEO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3743

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.